In The Matter Of:

In re: City of Detroit, Michigan

Anthony V. Marrocco July 10, 2014



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids
Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw

Original File MARROCCO_ANTHONY V_.txt Min-U-Script® with Word Index

Page 3
ity of
ity of
ity of
ìs
: s
∍ s
∋ s
∋s
-13
Page 4
5
84

Page 5 Page 7 1 Clinton Township, Michigan 1 joint owners? 2 Thursday, July 10, 2014 2 A. He owned some. Other ones I was partnered with. 3 10:15 a.m. **3** Q. And how long did you do that, approximately? 4 ANTHONY V. MARROCCO. 4 A. Well, when I was in high school, I helped him. I 5 was thereupon called as a witness herein, and worked for him in the building-of-houses business 6 after having first been duly sworn to testify to in my summers in high school. 7 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the **7** Q. When did you graduate from high school? truth, was examined and testified as follows: 8 A. 1966. MR. WATSON: Let the record reflect **9** Q. When did you start at Macomb County? 10 that this will be a deposition taken pursuant to 10 A. As commissioner? 11 Notice to be used for all purposes appropriate 11 Q. Or just any employment at the county. 12 under the applicable court rules. 12 A. Full-time or part-time? **EXAMINATION** 13 13 O. Full-time. 14 BY MR. WATSON: 14 A. January 1, 1993. 15 Q. Mr. Marrocco, I'll be asking you a series of 15 Q. And I take it from the time you started working with your father until '93 you were in this questions. If you don't understand the question, 17 want me to rephrase it or accommodate you in some constructing homes business? way, please ask that I do so and I will try to 18 18 A. Yeah. 19 Q. What was the position you started with at Macomb 19 accommodate. Otherwise, I'll assume you've heard 20 the question, understand it, and are responding 20 County? 21 to it, okay? 21 A. In January '93? 22 A. Okay. 22 O. Yes. 23 Q. Have you been deposed before? 23 A. Public Works Commissioner. 24 A. Probably. **24** Q. How long did you remain in that position? 25 A. I am -- up to this day, I am currently the Public 25 Q. So you know a court reporter cannot take down a Page 6 Page 8 1 nod of the head or non-verbal gesture. You have 1 Works Commissioner. to answer verbally. 2 Q. And what are your job duties as Public Works 3 A. So be it. 3 Commissioner? 4 Q. Will you state your name for the record. 4 A. I administer the Office of Public Works for the 5 A. Anthony Marrocco. County of Macomb. And the Office of Public Works 6 Q. And, Mr. Marrocco, will you tell us your oversees a lot of construction in the county, educational background. whether it's sanitary sewer, storm sewer, water 8 A. High school, Notre Dame High School in Harper main projects. We sell bonds to finance projects. Woods, University of Detroit college in Detroit, 9 We have a Soil Erosion Department that issues soil erosion permits for probably 90% of the building 10 bachelor of arts degree. 10 11 Q. What was -- you're currently an employee of 11 that goes on in Macomb County. What else do we **12** Macomb County? 12 do? We review prints that come in our office. I 13 A. Yes. 13 don't do these individually. I administer the 14 Q. What was your work experience prior to Macomb 14 office and make sure I have the proper people working in the proper place. 15 16 A. Prior to Macomb County, I built houses and **16** Q. Now, as I understand, there's only one Public 17 developed property. Works Commissioner? 18 Q. Did you own your own company? 18 A. For the county, yes. 19 A. I worked with my father. **19** Q. For the county. **20** Q. What was the name of the company? 20 A. But there are public works officials in other 21 A. I have various companies -- Marrocco Enterprises, 21 communities. 22 Q. As part of your duty as Public Works Amanda Corporation, Frosinone Company, 23 F-r-o-s-i-n-o-n-e, partnership, Tava Investments, Commissioner, did you have any dealings with the commercial -- some apartments. 24 Detroit Water and Sewerage Department?

25 Q. And your father owned these companies or were you

25 A. As Public Works Commissioner?

23

24

25

system.

MS. BADALAMENTI: I think that's a

vague question that calls for a narrative. Can

Page 9 Page 11 1 Q. Yes. 1 you narrow down the time frame? 2 A. Yes. BY MR. WATSON: 3 Q. And can you explain the nature of your business 3 Q. Well, when did negotiations first start with dealings with DWSD. 4 regard to the purchase of the system by Macomb MS. BADALAMENTI: What time period? from Detroit? Do you know? BY MR. WATSON: 6 A. Oh, I would say approximately 2007. 7 Q. Well, let's start off initially when you started, 7 Q. Were you involved in the initial conversations and then let's go to the 2000 -- early 2000 time 8 regarding this purchase? 9 A. I probably was. 10 A. When I started in '93, I guess the initial 10 Q. What was your involvement? 11 dealings with Detroit were basically overseeing 11 A. Initially the involvement was that we wanted to 12 the rates that Detroit passed on to Macomb County, 12 buy the system, from Detroit, that served Macomb 13 and then we would pass the rates on to the County so we could maintain it. communities within the water -- excuse me, within **14** Q. And who are you talking with from Detroit about the sanitary sewer district. So we only deal with **15** this? 15 16 Detroit on the sanitary sewer and the wastewater 16 A. Probably would have been the director at the time. 17 end. We're the primary customer. **17** O. Who was the director at the time? 18 Q. And as I understand it, Detroit owned the system? 18 A. I think that was Victor Mercado. 19 Q. In these discussions with Mercado, was it you and 19 A. Yes. **20** Q. How did it come about that Detroit owned the 20 Mercado? Were others involved in the Macomb County sewer system? Do you know? 21 discussions? 22 A. I don't remember. 22 A. Well, I want to say probably -- hopefully my facts are correct. Probably in the 70s there was a big 23 O. Did these discussions eventually result in some 24 interceptor sewer brought out to Macomb County at **24** type of agreement to purchase the system? 15 Mile and extended over to Oakland County, and 25 A. Eventually it did. Page 10 Page 12 1 it was all basically put in with federal grant 1 Q. Do you recall there was a long-standing federal money. Detroit put that sewer in; therefore they 2 court case involving Detroit in which Judge were the owner of the sewer. 3 Feikens was in effect overseeing Detroit's 4 Q. At some point was it decided that Detroit would running of the sewer system? Do you recall such sell the system to Macomb and Macomb would 5 a case? purchase the system from Detroit? 7 Q. And if I call that the sludge case, is that what 7 A. Eventually. 8 Q. And were you involved in that decision-making 8 it was referred to? process? 9 A. Not to my knowledge. 10 A. Which decision? 10 Q. What did you refer to it as? 11 O. To -- from looking at it from Macomb's 11 A. Just an -- the EPA was forcing Detroit to make 12 perspective, for Macomb to purchase the system 12 improvements to the system to clean up the Detroit 13 from Detroit. River 14 O. And was Macomb from time to time involved in that 14 A. Yes, I was involved. 15 O. I've heard rumors about some handshake deal 15 case? between you and the director of the Detroit 16 A. Yes. 17 system that set the broad parameters for that 17 Q. Do you know why Macomb would get involved? purchase. Do you recall that at all? 18 A. Not exactly sure why, because you're looking at 18 when the initial, I guess, lawsuit was filed by 19 A. No. 19 20 Q. Okay. Can you describe the nature of the 20 the EPA, which I'm guessing was back in the 70s. negotiations or describe the negotiation process 21 It was way before my time. that eventually resulted in Macomb purchasing the 22 Q. Did Macomb ever assert any claims against Detroit 22

for Detroit's operation or what it considered

the sewer system?

faulty operation or excess charges in regard to

Page 16

Page 13

- 1 A. When? Give me a time frame.
- 2 Q. Well, I'm thinking 2000 -- early 2000s. Do you
- 3 recall any of that?
- 4 A. I don't recall that.
- MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:
- **DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 1** 6
- 7 10.26 a m
- 8 BY MR. WATSON:
- 9 Q. Let me hand you, Mr. Marrocco, what's been marked
- as Exhibit No. 1. And my question to you is:
- 11 Can you identify that document?
- 12 A. On the face it is -- United States of America is
- 13 the plaintiff and counter-defendant versus the
- State of Michigan as defendant and
- counter-plaintiff versus City of Detroit, a 15
- 16 municipal corporation, and Detroit Water and
- 17 Sewerage Department, defendant and
- cross-plaintiff, and including all communities and 18
- 19 agencies under contract with the City of Detroit
- 20 for sewage treatment services.
- 21 Q. Was Macomb County one of the, I guess we could
- say, communities under contract with the City of
- Detroit for sewage treatment services?
- 24 A. Yes, at this time, I believe, whatever date this
- 25 is.

- 1 May 12, 2009," and lists various parties,
- 2 including Detroit and the County of Macomb. Do
- **3** you see that language?
- 4 A. Um-hmm. Yes.
- **5** Q. Do you remember entering into this agreement?
- 6 A. I remember entering into an agreement.
- 7 Q. And was the court involved in overseeing the
- negotiations between Detroit and Macomb and other
- entities that resulted in this agreement? Do you
- 10 recall that?
- 11 A. I don't think they were in this. Because there's
- 12 some issues here that -- I can't answer that. I
- 13 don't think so necessarily.
- 14 Q. Okay. Would you have read this agreement before
- 15 you signed it?
- 16 A. I probably would have had my legal counsel read
- 17 it.
- 18 Q. Who was your legal counsel at that time?
- 19 A. Well, my deputy -- chief deputy Bill Misterovich.
- **20** Q. Okay.
- 21 A. He would have been in-house, and obviously we had
- 22 Bodman, I believe, would have been the attorneys
- 23 outside that we hired.
- 24 Q. Okay. I want to ask you about a few of the
- 25 provisions. Let's go to the page that starts off

- 1 Settlement Agreement. I think it's actually page
- 1 of the agreement.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. I'm looking at Background and Purpose. A-iii
- 5 reads in part "All disputes related to the
- interest rate charged to Macomb related to debt
- service associated with the cost of repairs of
- the 2004 collapse." Do you see that language?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Was there a dispute between Detroit and Macomb in
- 11 regard to interest rate related to debt service
- 12 associated with the 2004 sewer collapse repairs?
- 13 A. Yes.
- **14** Q. And was that dispute settled by this agreement?
- 15 A. It was a global settlement.
- **16** Q. So it settled everything?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Then the next paragraph talks about "All
- 19 disputes and claims between the parties related
- 20 to costs for repairs and renovation of the
- 21 interceptor sewers listed in Exhibit 1." Do you
- 22 see that language?
- 23 A. Yes.
- **24** Q. Do you know what the interceptor sewers were?
- 25 Was that --

Page 14

1 Q. In thumbing through the agreement, I'm looking at

- **2** page 7.
- 3 A. Um-hmm.
- **4** Q. Does that appear to be your signature on the
- 5 agreement?
- 6 A. There's another page 7. There's two page 7s.
- 7 Q. Yeah. The second page 7.
- 8 A. Yes.
- **9** Q. Are you familiar with this agreement?
- 10 A. Without having -- no, not by just looking at it.
- 11 I'd have to read it.
- 12 Q. Okay. Can you take a few minutes to look it
- 13 over.
- 14 MS. BADALAMENTI: Is there something
- specific you want to ask him about? I mean, it's 15
- 16 a pretty lengthy agreement.
- 17 MR. WATSON: Yes. I'm going to ask him
- about some of the language in the agreement. 18
- **THE WITNESS:** I've kind of looked at 19
- 20 the first two pages.
- 21 BY MR. WATSON:
- **22** Q. Does that refresh your recollection at all?
- 23 A. Yeah, it does, a little bit.
- 24 Q. In looking at the first page of the agreement, I
- 25 see it says "This Settlement Agreement...is made

Page 17

- 1 A. I don't understand what you mean, do I know what
- 2 the interceptor sewers are.
- **3** Q. I guess my question is: Were the interceptor
- 4 sewers at least part of that system, the sewers
- 5 that collapsed -- the 15 Mile and Hayes sewer
- 6 collapse problems?
- 7 A. Was that part of that?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. If it's on Exhibit 1 of Exhibit D, I guess it
- 10 would be.
- 11 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:
- **12** DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 2
- **13** 10:32 a.m.
- 14 BY MR. WATSON:
- 15 Q. Let me hand to you what's been marked as Marrocco
- 16 Exhibit 2. Are you familiar with this document
- 17 at all, Letter of Intent?
- 18 A. Not really.
- 19 Q. If you go back to Exhibit 1, the Settlement
- 20 Agreement, and thumb through about half of it,
- 21 you'll see a page marked Exhibit D.
- 22 A. Is there a page number?
- **23** Q. 1704 in the top right-hand corner?
- **MS. BADALAMENTI:** We don't have 1704.
- **THE WITNESS:** Is this the Letter of

- 1 Q. Yes. Is the system that collapsed -- I always
- 2 think of it was 15 Mile and Hayes. Is that part
- **3** of these?
- 4 A. What area were you saying, again?
- 5 Q. Well, what I'm thinking about is the interceptor
- 6 that collapsed in August 2004.
- 7 A. Is it listed here?
- 8 O. Yes.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And what do you call the one that's listed -- the
- 11 one that collapsed, what do you refer to that one
- **12** as?
- 13 MS. BADALAMENTI: The one that's not
- **14** listed?
- 15 BY MR. WATSON:
- **16** Q. You say it's not listed.
- 17 A. What do we call it?
- 18 O. Yeah.
- 19 A. It would be the interceptor -- the Macomb
- 20 interceptor.
- 21 Q. Okay. Let's go back to 1 -- Exhibit 1, and I'll
- 22 ask you -- let's see. Is the Macomb interceptor
- 23 the one referenced in 1-A-ii?
- MS. BADALAMENTI: What page are you on?
- 25 MR. WATSON: It would be 1685.

Page 18 Page 20

- 1 Intent that you're referring to?
- 2 BY MR. WATSON:
- 3 Q. Yes.
- 4 A. Okay. There's no marking.
- **5** Q. And unfortunately on this document, Exhibit 1,
- 6 the Letter of Intent -- the Exhibit 1 to the
- 7 Letter of Intent was not attached. That's why I
- 8 made Exhibit 2 the Letter of Intent with
- **9** Exhibit 1 attached to it, which gets a little
- 10 confusing.
- 11 A. You'll have to excuse me. I came in late last
- 12 night from Miami and I had to get here early
- 13 today, so my mind is a little foggy. What page do
- 14 you want me to look at here?
- **15** Q. Go to two pages from the end.
- 16 A. Of the Letter of Intent?
- 17 Q. Yeah.
- **MS. BADALAMENTI:** You're in Exhibit 2
- 19 now? Because Exhibit 1 doesn't have this
- 20 document.
- 21 MR. WATSON: Right.
- 22 BY MR. WATSON:
- 23 Q. There's a list of interceptors.
- 24 A. It says Oakland-Macomb Interceptor System at the
- 25 top, Exhibit 1?

- 1 MR. SHAHID: On the top.
- 2 MR. WATSON: At the top.
- 3 MS. BADALAMENTI: We have different
- 4 page IDs. What I'm looking at page 8431, but I
- 5 can see where you're at.
- 6 MR. SHAHID: Ours says 8431.
- 7 MS. BADALAMENTI: So you're at sub 1-
- 8 A-ii.
- 9 BY MR. WATSON:
- **10** Q. 1-A-ii: "All disputes related to the allocation
- 11 of repair costs related to the August 4, 2004
- 12 collapse and the Romeo Arm of the Macomb
- 13 Interceptors," I take it that's the one that
- 14 collapsed?
- 15 A. Well, it's not the Romeo arm.
- **16** Q. Wasn't it 15 Mile and Hayes? Isn't that where
- 17 the collapse occurred?
- 18 A. Yes, in that vicinity, but it's not the Romeo arm.
- 19 Q. What do you call it?
- 20 A. It would just be the Macomb Interceptor. Romeo
- 21 arm extends -- goes up toward Romeo.
- 22 Q. Does the Romeo arm include the Macomb
- 23 Interceptor?
- 24 A. It's hard to say. I can't really answer how our
- 25 office designates what area, but it would have to

1 go up to Garfield and then up Garfield, and -- but

- 2 I guess, you know, this could be considered the
- 3 Romeo arm. I don't know. You see -- I mean,
- 4 excuse me. One thing real quick. This goes back
- 5 to the 70s, how they used to designate these
- 6 names, so you know.
- 7 Q. Are you aware of any other 2004 collapse other
- 8 than the Macomb Interceptor?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Let's look at v. It mentions Infrastructure
- 11 Management Group, "All disputes related to the
- 12 continuing oversight of contracts exceeding
- 13 \$500,000 by the Infrastructure Management Group."
- 14 Are you familiar with the Infrastructure
- 15 Management Group?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Can you tell us what that is?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Do you know if they looked at claims over
- 20 \$500,000 or contracts over \$500,000?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Let's turn to page ID 8433 B, which is entitled
- 23 2004 Collapse Claims, 2006 Interceptor Repairs,
- 24 Interceptor Interest Rate. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 A. Yes.

Page 21

- 2 Q. Was the broad parameter of the sale that Macomb
- 3 would purchase the system by assuming the system
- 4 debt?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And were you involved in that, say, broad
- 7 agreement?
- 8 MS. BADALAMENTI: Agreement to do what?
- 9 BY MR. WATSON:
- **10** Q. Purchase the system for the system debt. Let me
- 11 explain what I'm getting at.
- 12 A. Yeah.
- **13** Q. See, as I understand it, there was some type of
- 14 broad agreement in principle between Detroit and
- 15 Macomb, all right, that Macomb will purchase the
- 16 system by assuming the system debt.
- 17 A. Um-hmm.
- 18 Q. And that that was a certain amount at that time.
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And I'm wondering who reached that broad
- 21 agreement to purchase the system for system debt?
- 22 I was thinking it was you.
- 23 A. Well, we paid more than that.
- 24 Q. Who all was involved in reaching that agreement?
- 25 Do you know?

Page 22 Page 24

- 1 Q. And here the first sentence reads: "The parties,
- 2 in complete satisfaction of the 2004 collapse
- 3 claims, Macomb's claims with regard to the 2006
- 4 repairs to the Macomb Interceptors, and the
- 5 Interceptor interest rate claims, agree to
- 7 charges by DWSD to Macomb in the aggregate amount
- 8 of \$17,050,000." Do you see that language?

principal and interest rate adjustments on

- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And what was that \$17,050,000 for? Was that just
- an accommodation to reduce the purchase price?
- 12 What was your understanding of why that was the
- 13 adjustment?
- 14 A. That was the global settlement for all the issues
- 15 we had with Detroit.
- 16 Q. Okay. So what I'm understanding is there were
- 17 negotiations going on for Macomb to purchase the
- 18 system, and the parties were going back and forth
- **19** in regard to the amount of the price?
- 20 A. I'm sure there was negotiations going on, yes.
- 21 Q. And Detroit agreed to reduce the cost by this 17
- 22 million?
- 23 A. The global settlement.
- 24 Q. Through the global settlement the cost was
- 25 reduced by 17 -- over 17 million?

- 1 A. Well, I probably signed the papers in the end, but
- 2 I wasn't in the negotiating room with anybody.
- 3 Q. Would they have had to run it by you, whoever was
- 4 on your team?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you're thinking the attorneys, Misterovich
- 7 and the attorney for Bodman would have been at
- 8 least two of the folks?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you recall that the system debt at one point
- 11 was something like, according to Detroit,
- **12** \$116 million?
- 13 A. Say that again.
- 14 Q. Do you recall that the system debt at one point,
- 15 according to Detroit, was something like
- **16** \$116 million?
- 17 A. I do not.
- **18** Q. Do you recall what the system eventually was
- **19** purchased for?
- 20 A. I believe it was just under \$90 million.
- 21 Q. And that 90 million was after the 17 million was
- 22 deducted that we're looking at here?
- 23 A. That was a credit given.
- 24 Q. And were there other credits given?
- 25 A. I'm not sure.

1 Q. Let's go to page 8436. I'm looking at paragraph

- 2 ii. And it talks about if the parties don't
- 3 reach agreement within a certain time, either
- 4 party could declare provisions of this agreement
- 5 void and without effect. Do you see that
- 6 language?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Are you aware of any provisions of this agreement
- 9 ever being declared void or no effect?
- 10 A. I'm not aware of that.
- 11 Q. Look at paragraph B, which reads in part "This
- 12 agreement, and the exhibits, contains the entire
- 13 agreement between the parties with regard to the
- 14 matters addressed in this agreement." Do you see
- 15 that language?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Was that your understanding, that this was a full
- 18 agreement, a comprehensive settlement between
- **19** Detroit, Macomb and other parties?
- 20 A. That's what my attorney said.
- **21** Q. Resolved all disputes?
- 22 A. At that point.
- 23 Q. Let's go to the next page. I see a signature.
- 24 It appears to be Pamela Turner. Are you familiar
- 25 or do you know Pamela Turner?

1 A. Um-hmm.

Page 25

- 2 Q. And going to the next page, there's a 6,
- 3 Interceptor Interest Rate. Were there interest
- 4 rate disputes pending prior to this settlement
- 5 agreement?
- 6 A. I believe so.
- **7** Q. Were all those interest rate disputes resolved?
- 8 A. I don't know if all were resolved, but the ones
- 9 that are shown here obviously were resolved.
- 10 Q. And then going to Exhibit D, the Letter of
- **11** Intent --
- **MS. BADALAMENTI:** What page?
- **MR. WATSON:** 8451.
- 14 BY MR. WATSON:
- **15** Q. I'm looking at the top of the page -- well, the
- 16 next page, 8452, paragraph 3, which reads in part
- 17 "The consideration...for the acquisition of the
- 18 property would be an amount equal to the
- 19 outstanding debt (including accrued interest)
- 20 owed by the city that is allocated to the
- 21 property," and then it also mentions adjusted by
- 22 the amount of 17,050,000 and such other
- 23 adjustments agreed upon by the parties. Do you
- **24** see that language?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 26 Page 28

- 1 A. At that time I did.
- **2** Q. And at the date this agreement was made, May 12,
- 3 2009, was she the interim director of DWSD?
- 4 A. She signed it as being the interim director.
- **5** Q. So you assume she was?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Let's skip one page and go to -- it looks like
- 8 the third page 7, 8439. It appears to be a
- 9 signature of John McCulloch. Are you familiar
- 10 with him?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And he was the commissioner for -- Water
- 13 Resources Commissioner for Oakland County?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And going to the next page 7, there appears to be
- 16 a signature -- I can't read it. It says
- 17 "Assistant County Executive, County of Wayne."
- 18 Do you know who that would be?
- 19 A. Not really.
- 20 Q. Thumbing through the agreement, and looking at
- 21 one of the attachments -- it's page 8446, Exhibit
- 22 C to the agreement, in fact, page 8447. At the
- 23 top of the page it says "Exhibit C, List of
- 24 Matters." Page 8447, Exhibit C lists matters
- 25 resolved?

- 1 MS. BADALAMENTI: I don't think that's
 - a complete quote of the language, but go ahead.
 - 3 BY MR. WATSON:
 - 4 Q. No, it's not a total quote. I'm trying to save a
 - 5 little time.
 - 6 To your understanding, was that summary
 - 7 of the expected deal accurate?
 - 8 A. A credit?
 - 9 Q. Well, the expected deal was Macomb would assume
- 10 the debt.
- 11 A. Yes.
- **12** Q. The amount would be reduced by over 17 million
- 13 plus any further amounts that the parties could
- 14 agree upon.
- 15 A. On top of the 17 million.
- 16 Q. Right.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Now, this agreement was made, according to
- the language on the first page, May 12, 2009.
- 20 And we'll get into the acquisition agreement, but
- 21 that was signed in 2010, wasn't it?
- 22 A. What was signed in 2010?
- 23 Q. The acquisition agreement, the actual sale.
- 24 A. Yes, before the indictment and the revelation that
- there was some hanky-panky going on with the sewer

collapse.

- **2** Q. So this happened before any of that?
- 3 A. Right, before they revealed to us what was going
- 4 on.
- 5 Q. What was taking place between this May 2009 and
- I think the acquisition agreement was
- 7 September 2010. What was going on during that
- 8 period?
- 9 A. September 2010 to --
- 10 Q. From when this agreement was signed, May 2009 to
- 11 September 2010, when the acquisition agreement
- 12 was signed.
- 13 MS. BADALAMENTI: I'm going to object
- 14 to this. The Letter of Intent that's here does
- 15 not appear to be signed, but subject to that
- 16 objection, you can go ahead and answer.
- 17 BY MR. WATSON:
- 18 Q. Do you know what was going on?
- 19 A. No, I don't know.
- **20** Q. Were there negotiations occurring?
- 21 A. I have no idea.
- 22 Q. Who was -- you said the attorney for Bodman and
- 23 Misterovich were the principal negotiators for
- 24 Macomb?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. So wouldn't Mercado have been gone before May 12,

2 2009°

Page 29

- 3 MS. BADALAMENTI: Been gone from what,
- 4 his position or the negotiations?
- 5 MR. WATSON: Both.
- **THE WITNESS:** I'm not sure.
- **7 BY MR. WATSON:**
- 8 Q. Okay. So you're not certain when Mercado left?
- 9 A. Right.
- **10** Q. You say through the years you talked to him.
- 11 What was the discussion about?
- 12 A. About basically on the sewer collapse, about the
- 13 charges.
- 14 Q. What did he say about the charges? What did you
- 15 say about the charges?
- 16 A. He said everything was fair and accurate, and I
- 17 didn't think they were. Who was I to question?
- 18 Q. By everything was fair and accurate, what did you
- **19** take that to mean?
- 20 A. That Detroit was looking out for the interests of
- 21 Macomb County, that we weren't being overcharged.
- **22** Q. For the system?
- 23 A. For the repair.
- **24** Q. How did the repair pertain to the purchase price?
- 25 A. It was just one global settlement, you know. I

Page 30 Page 32

- 1 Q. Paragraph 5 on that same page, Access and
- 2 Investigation, it talks about Detroit should
- 3 afford basically the other parties to this
- 4 agreement the opportunity to secure documents or
- 5 look at anything they wanted to. Was that your
- 6 understanding, that prior to actually signing the
- 7 agreement, Macomb had the right to get documents
- 8 from Detroit, to inspect things if it wanted to?
- 9 A. That's what that paragraph says.
- 10 Q. And in reality, is that what the situation was,
- 11 that you would have -- Macomb could have secured
- 12 any documents it wanted or inspected the system
- 13 if it wanted?
- 14 A. The paragraph says we could.
- 15 Q. Did Macomb do that?
- 16 A. I cannot answer that, but I did talk to Victor
- 17 Mercado. He said everything is proper.
- 18 Q. When did you talk to Mr. Mercado?
- 19 A. Over the years, since he was the director.
- 20 Q. Do you know when he left?
- 21 A. Well, I believe he left when the indictments came
- 22 down, basically. 2000 -- end of 2010.
- 23 Q. Well, wasn't Pam Turner the interim director when
- 24 this deal was signed?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 can't tell you how exactly the 17 million
- 2 pertained to.
- 3 Q. Do you know why Detroit reduced the amount 17
- 4 million?
- 5 **MS. BADALAMENTI:** Other than what's
- 6 stated in the agreement?
- 7 MR. WATSON: Yeah.
- 8 THE WITNESS: No. I mean --
- 9 BY MR. WATSON:
- 10 Q. Did you and Mercado talk about Detroit reducing
- 11 the amount?
- 12 A. Oh, yes.
- 13 Q. What did -- you wanted, I take it, further
- **14** reduction?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And I would take it Mercado didn't want to
- 17 reduce?
- 18 A. I talked to Mercado about reducing the cost of the
- 19 sewer collapse repair bill. The global settlement
- 20 took in a lot of other issues, and that 17 million
- 21 doesn't specify how much money goes to which of
- 22 those issues.
- 23 Q. Who negotiated the 17 million? Do you know?
- 24 A. On behalf of which party?
- 25 O. Well, Macomb.

1 A. It would have been, you know, Mr. Misterovich and

- 2 Mr. -- or a representative from Bodman.
- 3 Q. Was Hupp the main Bodman attorney? Do you know?
- 4 A. Yes.
- **5** Q. And who was negotiating for Detroit?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- **7** Q. And you weren't the main negotiator for Macomb?
- 8 A. I didn't sit in on negotiations.
- **9** Q. Do you know if Mercado sat in on negotiations?
- 10 A. I'm not sure.
- 11 Q. Now, how many discussions over the years do you
- 12 think you had with Mercado about the cost of the
- 13 repairs?
- 14 A. I don't know.
- 15 Q. And when he -- you say he told you the costs were
- 16 fair and accurate?
- 17 A. That's what he said.
- 18 Q. And by cost, we're talking about millions of
- 19 dollars, I take it?
- 20 A. Yes.
- **21** Q. Were the statements by Mercado ever reduced to
- 22 writing at all?
- 23 A. I'm not aware.
- 24 Q. Okay. Did you ever think that, gee, maybe I
- **25** better get this in writing?

1 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:

- 2 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 3
- 3 11:00 a.m.

Page 33

- 4 BY MR. WATSON:
- **5** Q. Let me hand you what's been marked Exhibit No. 3,
- 6 Mr. Marrocco. My question is: Have you seen the
- 7 document attached to this fax before?
- 8 A. Have I seen this before?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. I don't remember, but I see it now.
- 11 Q. Okay. Does that appear to be your signature on
- **12** the document?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.
- **14** Q. And the fax, the first page, seems to be dated
- **15** 11/17/04.
- 16 A. Um-hmm.
- 17 Q. And it faxes to Victor Mercado a two-page letter
- 18 from you dated November 16, 2004; is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- **21** Q. And through the letter you request 15 categories
- 22 of documents relating to the 15 Mile Road
- 23 interceptor, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you know if these documents were furnished?

Page 34 Page 36

- 1 A. I thought he was a man of his word.
- 2 Q. And did he tell you this, what you're saying, on
- 3 more than one occasion?
- 4 A. What was that?
- **5** Q. Did he tell you this on more than one occasion?
- 6 A. Tell me what?
- 7 Q. That the costs were fair and accurate.
- 8 A. Yes, he did.
- 9 Q. And was anyone ever there when he told you that
- 10 or was it just the two of you?
- 11 A. Maybe Mr. Misterovich might have been there.
- 12 Q. Do you know where that particular discussion took
- 13 place?
- 14 A. No. There's many places we've talked with
- 15 Mr. Mercado, so I wouldn't remember which.
- 16 Q. Do you know approximately when that discussion
- 17 when Misterovich was there took place?
- 18 A. Before 2010.
- 19 Q. Was it before this settlement agreement? Do you
- **20** know?
- 21 A. Yeah. Yes.
- 22 Q. You weren't shy about asking Detroit for
- 23 documents or information if you felt you needed
- 24 it, were you?
- 25 A. That's up to my attorneys.

- 1 Did you receive the documents?
- 2 A. I have no idea.
- **3** Q. Over the years is it accurate to say that when
- 4 Macomb requested documents from Detroit, Detroit
- 5 would generally get Macomb the documents?
- 6 A. I have no idea.
- 7 Q. You had a relationship with Mr. Mercado, did you
- 8 not, that if anyone who reported to you said we
- 9 need certain information from Detroit, we're
- 10 having a rough time getting it, you could pick up
- 11 the phone and call Mercado directly --
- 12 A. Yes.
- **13** Q. -- for the information?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Was he generally accommodating to you, if you
- **16** asked him for something?
- 17 A. I'm sure he was.
- 18 Q. Do you recall anything you asked for in
- 19 connection with the settlement agreement or the
- 20 acquisition agreement? Any information you
- 21 wanted prior to entering into those agreements
- 22 that wasn't supplied by Detroit?
- 23 A. You have to ask my attorneys that, if they got the
- 24 information they needed.
- 25 Q. You can't recall anything --

Page 37 1 A. No. **2** Q. -- you asked for that wasn't supplied? 2 repair.

4 Q. I want to go back to the settlement agreement, the Letter of Intent, which starts at page 8451.

And go to page 8453.

7 A. Okay.

8 Q. Paragraph 6, Conditions reads in part, "The

parties' obligations to consummate the

transaction would be subject to the satisfaction

11 of each of the following conditions at or prior

12 to closing, any of which may be waived in whole

13 or in part by the parties to the extent permitted

14 by applicable law." Is that what it says?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And then looking at the next page -- I'm looking

17 at iv. One of the conditions appears to be -- it

reads "The satisfactory completion in the 18

19 transferee's sole discretion of the transferee's

20 due diligence investigations of the property,

21 including, without limitation, with respect to

22 all operational, financial, environmental

engineering, legal and accounting matters." Do

you see that language?

25 A. Which item number would that be?

1 A. That the sewer collapse cost \$55 million to

3 Q. And you don't think it cost that much?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. What do you base that on, your conclusion it

didn't cost that much?

7 A. I had an engineer give me an estimate what they

thought it would cost to do that job.

9 Q. Who was the engineer?

10 A. Anderson, Eckstein & Westrick.

11 Q. What did they think it would cost to do the job?

12 A. They said approximately \$28 million.

13 Q. And did they speak to anyone at Detroit before

14 arriving at their estimate?

15 A. I can't answer that. I don't know.

16 Q. Do you know whether or not they were aware that

various unforeseen difficulties were encountered

in repairing the system?

19 A. Well, they were not aware that someone was getting

20 paid for doing no work.

21 Q. Well, we could get into that, but let's --

22 A. That was brought out in federal court, and it's a

23 fact.

24 Q. Were they aware that unforeseen difficulties were

encountered in effecting the repairs? Do you

Page 38 Page 40

1 O. That was iv.

2 A. Yes, I see it.

3 Q. Was it your understanding that Macomb, prior to

signing the deal, was entitled to satisfy itself

that all operational, financial, environmental, 5

engineering, legal and accounting matters were in

7 order -- were in accord with what it wanted in

8 regard to the deal?

9 A. So your question --

10 Q. Let me rephrase it. Could you satisfy yourself

with regard to all these matters, get all the

12 information you wanted? You were entitled to

13 satisfy yourself in regard to all this before you

14 signed the deal, weren't you?

15 A. Yes, we were entitled to it.

16 Q. And you didn't -- if you had any questions about

17 any of these things, you didn't have to sign the

18 deal?

19 A. Well, had we known the information on the 15 Mile

Road sewer collapse was inaccurate and was faulty,

we probably would have raised an objection, but we 21

take it that what they gave to us was true and 22

accurate and fair. 23

24 Q. What information did they give to you wasn't true

and accurate and fair?

2 A. No, they didn't -- they just -- as an engineer,

they did an estimate of what it would cost to do

the job --

5 Q. And --

6 A. -- if it was competitively bid out.

7 Q. And you said that people were paid for not doing

8 work?

9 A. Well, that's what they said in federal court.

10 Q. Was that in regard to the interceptor collapse

11 repairs or was that in regard to other contracts?

12 A. The interceptor collapse repair.

13 Q. And the interceptor collapse repairs were part of

14 contract, I believe, CS-1368. Are you aware of

15 that?

16 A. I have no idea the numbers.

17 Q. Do you have any other reason to believe that

folks were paid or contractors were paid for work

that wasn't done other than the federal court

20 information?

21 A. I think that's pretty strong evidence.

22 Q. So the answer to my question is, yes, that's all

23 the information you got on this?

24 A. No, I have my engineer's estimate.

25 Q. So you have two things, engineer's estimate and

1 the federal court?

- 2 A. Yes.
- **3** Q. Anything else?
- 4 A. You know, I could say, just using my own
- 5 reasoning, but I'm not going to say that. There
- 6 was an engineer that was qualified who's done many
- 7 projects, and they understand the cost.
- 8 Q. When did you retain this engineer?
- 9 A. I approached them right -- basically after the
- 10 indictment came out, so that had to be in the
- 11 beginning of '11, maybe -- 2011.
- 12 Q. What did you ask them to do?
- 13 A. I just asked him -- I got a copy of the work that
- 14 had been done and I asked him what his estimate to
- 15 do this job would cost.
- **16** Q. Where did you find this engineer?
- 17 A. He's an engineer that this office has used before.
- 18 Q. How many times have you used them?
- 19 A. Too numerous. I can't even give you a number.
- 20 Q. Is he local to Macomb County or local to
- 21 Michigan? Where is he located?
- 22 A. Local to Michigan. He used to be down in Florida
- 23 also.
- 24 Q. How do you know the guy?
- 25 A. Just through his professional credentials and he's

- 1 being replaced and --
- 2 Q. So the information you gave him were documents
- 3 from Detroit?

Page 41

- 4 A. I believe so. I'm not quite sure anymore.
- 5 MS. BADALAMENTI: Is this a good time
- 6 to take a break?
- 7 MR. WATSON: Yeah, it is.
- 8 (Off the record at 11:14 a.m.)
- **9** (Back on the record at 11:26 a.m.)
- 10 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:
- 11 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 4
- **12** 11:26 a.m.
- 13 BY MR. WATSON:
- 14 Q. Mr. Marrocco, you've been handed what's been
- marked Exhibit 4, which is the debtor's witness
- 16 list for the July 17, 2014 hearing. I give you
- 17 that because in our witness list we listed as No.
- 18 5 and No. 6 30(b)(6) corporate representatives, 5
- 19 to testify on various counts of the complaint,
- and 6 to testify in regard to the acquisition
- 21 agreement. And this question is probably for
- 22 your counsel and you. Are you being designated
- 23 as the 30(b)(6) representative for either of
- 24 those two areas?
- 25 A. I have no idea.

Page 42 Page 44

- 1 done work here. He's represented private business
- 2 people who have submitted prints and drawings here
- 3 for approval.
- 4 Q. Did you have any dealings with him prior to your
- 5 employment with Macomb County?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And what dealings were those?
- 8 A. We hired that company -- my father did, back in,
- 9 I'm going to say, late -- early or mid-70s.
- 10 O. To do what?
- 11 A. To do engineering for a subdivision. But let me
- 12 just expound. That was before -- that was when
- 13 Anderson, Eckstein & Westrick were principals at
- 14 the company. At this point in 2011, they were all
- 15 gone and the people who own the company are not
- 16 the same principals.
- 17 Q. Who's the key guy you use now?
- 18 A. I think Roy Rose is their president.
- 19 Q. Do you know what information this person utilized
- 20 in arriving at his opinion?
- 21 A. I think just his credentials and experience, being
- 22 a professional engineer.
- 23 Q. Did Macomb supply him with any information?
- 24 A. I might have just gave him maybe some information
- that Detroit gave me possibly, how much sewer was

- 1 MS. BADALAMENTI: Good answer.
- 2 MR. WATSON: Is there going to be a
- 3 30(b)(6) rep, though? If it's he, I need to know
- 4 that now so I can --
- 5 MS. BADALAMENTI: We've had those
- 6 discussions with you. We've designated our
- 7 30(b)(6) representative. I think we told you for
- 8 6 it would be Greg Hupp and for 5 it would be
- 9 either Greg Hupp or Mr. Misterovich. I think for
- 10 5 it was Mr. Misterovich. And you know
- 11 Mr. Misterovich had a very serious surgery about a
- 12 week ago. And we have tried our best to get you
- 13 information from him. He did come in after hours
- 14 despite his condition to get you documents you
- 15 requested, and he has been made available for
- 16 deposition on Monday, the 14th, despite his
- 17 doctor's recommendations that he doesn't do so.
- 18 We've more than accommodated this request.
- 19 BY MR. WATSON:
- 20 Q. Mr. Marrocco, we spent some time before the break
- 21 discussing your conversations with Mr. Mercado in
- 22 which he said everything was fair and accurate.
- 23 I want to make sure that we've gotten your full
- 24 recollection as to when the conversations took
- 25 place, what was discussed in regard to this "fair

22 Q. And what did he say?

25

paid.

23 A. He said, no, no, they're not going to. They're

not. They don't use it, they're not going to get

Page 45 Page 47 and accurate" statement, if anything else was 1 Q. Anything else you recall from that conversation? discussed in regard to that, who might have been 2 A. You know, to be real specific, no, but in general, 2 3 present, where it took place, when. Anything 3 just the fact that I thought the job was taking a else that you recall in regard to these Mercado little bit long to get completed, and I thought it 4 conversations? was at too high a cost, and I expected that the 5 5 6 MS. BADALAMENTI: That's about six 6 road would be paved, and he said, no, it's -- the 7 questions in one. Why don't you ask him if he 7 road won't be paved until next spring. Well, why recalls any more information about the not? Well, you now, dah, dah, dah. To them it 8 8 9 conversations with respect to one of those 9 kind of benefitted them that they dragged the job parameters so that he can actually answer you. 10 on. It's time and material -- time and material, 10 11 BY MR. WATSON: you know. If it was competitively bid out, the 11 12 Q. Well, I can go through each one. Do you recall 12 contractor would want to get done as soon as 13 anything else about the conversations with possible to make more money. These guys, the 13 Mercado in regard to when they took place other 14 longer they're there, the more they're going to than what you've said? 15 15 make. 16 A. Well, if you're talking about the sewer **16** Q. Do you know how long the job took? 17 collapse --17 A. Gee, I don't remember anymore. From the time the 18 Q. The sewer collapse and you said Mr. Mercado said sewer collapse happened, oh, probably close to two 19 the repair -years, I guess. 20 A. That's what I mean. You're talking about the 20 Q. For the August 2004 conversation -- I didn't ask 21 sewer collapse? Because I had talked to you -- was anybody else there or was it just you 22 Mr. Mercado before the sewer collapse ever and Mr. Mercado? 23 happened. Because he became director -- I don't 23 A. When is this? 24 even know what year it was. 24 Q. When -- the August 2004 collapse -- well, no, you 25 Q. I'm specifically talking about your testimony said the collapse occurred in August 2004. Page 46 Page 48 regarding Mercado's statement pertaining to the Probably about six weeks after that you had a sewer collapse repairs in which you say Mercado conversation with Mercado and pointed out you've said the repair costs were fair and accurate. got all this equipment on the job. Is all that 4 A. That's correct. necessary? And he told you it was? **5** Q. So can you recall anything else about when those 5 A. Yes. conversations took place? **6** Q. Was anyone else there for that conversation? 7 A. Might have been people around, but I don't 7 A. Well, let's see now. August 2004, about remember who they were. 8 mid-August -- end of August is when the collapse, 9 I believe, occurred, and I'm going to say probably 9 Q. Did you discuss anything else in that 10 six weeks after that maybe I was questioning, you conversation? know, why so much equipment was on the job site, 11 A. No, I think that's all Macomb County cared about, 12 and then, again, in the spring of 2005. that they get the sewer working and it's done at a 13 Q. Where did the August 2004 conversation take reasonably fair price. 14 place? 14 Q. How long did you think the job should have taken? 15 A. I'm not sure on that, where it was, but I remember 15 A. I would say that that job should have been done 2005 very accurately. We were all on the street within a year at most. 17 where the collapse was, and I told him, you've got 17 Q. Wasn't it completed within a year? all this equipment here and --18 A. No, it wasn't. We were getting bills in '06. 18 **19** O. In spring 2005? That's two years out. 20 A. Yeah. And I said, I don't want these contractors 20 Q. Weren't there amendments to the contract that charging for this equipment that's parked here. didn't have anything to do with the sewer repair, 21

22

23

24

25

thought?

to foundation.

BY MR. WATSON:

MS. BADALAMENTI: I'm going to object

In re: City of Detroit, Michigan Page 49 Page 51 1 Q. If you know. 1 A. I would say he did. 2 A. I don't know. 2 Q. Going to the first page, it indicates the 3 Q. Any other conversations with Mercado in which he 3 acquisition agreement is made the 2nd day of 4 made representations that the repair costs were 4 September 2010. fair and accurate or something to that effect? 5 A. Um-hmm. Yes. 6 A. I'm sure there were along the way. **6** Q. Do you recall that being the approximate period **7** Q. Can you recall the nature of those? when the sale of the Macomb Interceptor system 8 A. It's a long time ago. I have a lot of other from Detroit to Macomb was effected? things that this office does that I can't remember 9 A. As to the other document we were looking at just that incident. 10 before? 11 Q. Did you have such conversations with anyone else 11 Q. Well, no, just -- was the sale completed on or 12 at DWSD? **12** about September 2nd, 2010, if you recall? 13 A. Give me a time frame. 13 A. Just what this document says. And my chief deputy 14 Q. Well, any time after the collapse. From the time 14 signed this. I guess that's what it is. I can't 15 of the collapse to, I guess, up to the time you 15 say other than that. 16 purchased. 16 Q. All right. Looking at the second page, it has a 17 A. Anybody else from DWSD? I think Pam Turner was in paragraph 1.5, "'Macomb's County's Knowledge' 18 charge at that time, so -shall mean the actual knowledge of the Macomb 19 Q. You didn't have any discussions about this with County Public Works Commissioner." That was you **20** her? 20 at the time, right? 21 A. No. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. What about with Latimer -- Darryl Latimer? Ever 22 Q. And legal counsel, and you told me about 23 talk to that guy? 23 Misterovich and Bodman --24 A. Yes. 24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you recall that there was a major sewer 25 Q. -- attorneys being legal counsel. It says "legal

Page 50 Page 52 1 collapse way back in 1977? 1 counsel assigned." That would probably be

2 A. Yes. Misterovich. "Or retained," that would be Bodman **3** Q. In the same area? 3 folks, right?

4 A. Yes. 4 A. Okay. 5 Q. Do you know how long that took to repair?

"'Detroit's knowledge' shall mean the knowledge

MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION: of its director." Do you know who the director **DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 5** 8

11:35 a.m.

9 BY MR. WATSON: 10

11 O. Mr. Marrocco, I'll hand you what's been marked as

12 Exhibit 5. And at the top it's entitled

13 Acquisition Agreement; is that correct? 14 A. Yes.

15 Q. Are you familiar with this document?

16 A. Not really.

17 Q. Will you go to the last page. At the bottom it's

18 25 of 25.

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. It appears to be signed by Darryl Latimer and

21 William Misterovich. Do you see that?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And Misterovich is your chief deputy?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Did he have authority to sign this document?

5 Q. Then I'm looking at paragraph 1.10. It says

was September 2nd, 2010?

9 A. I think you said it was Pam Turner at that time?

10 Q. 2009 it was Turner. I'm thinking 2010 she had

11 gone and Latimer was serving as interim, but --

12 A. I had never heard of Darryl Latimer ever being the

13 director.

14 O. Okav.

15 A. So I think it had to be Pam Turner.

16 Q. Okay. All right. So your understanding is that

would be Turner. "...its assistant corporate

counsel assigned to DWSD matters," do you know

19 who that was?

20 A. I have no idea.

21 Q. "...its assistant chief of engineering," do you

22 know who that was?

23 A. I have no idea.

24 Q. Do you know Shukla -- Ramesh Shukla?

25 A. Yeah, I know who Shukla is.

1 Q. Have you had dealings with him over the years?

2 Brief dealings, maybe?

3 A. Minor dealings.

4 Q. Any problem with Shukla at all?

5 A. No, a very nice man.

6 Q. You haven't seen or heard anything that leads to

7 you believe he was dishonest or would commit

8 fraudulent acts or anything like that, have you?

9 A. No, I don't know him well enough.

10 Q. Okay. Then 1.13 says "'Global Settlement

11 Agreement' means the settlement agreement between

12 Detroit and Macomb, Oakland and Wayne counties

13 executed by the parties to that agreement" -- I'm

14 skipping some language -- "May 12, 2009." That's

15 the agreement we just covered, isn't it, the one

16 entitled Settlement Agreement?

17 A. I believe so.

18 Q. Okay. Turning to page 6 of 25, I'm looking at

19 2.5. It says "Macomb System." Did you read this

20 document, by the way? Have you ever really --

21 A. This document?

22 Q. Have you ever read this thing?

23 A. No. Mr. Misterovich signed it.

24 Q. Did you authorize him to sign it or did he say it

25 seems okay and -- or the attorney said it's okay?

1 BY MR. WATSON:

2 Q. Yeah. Do you know of any suits, whether criminal

3 or civil, involving that system?

4 A. I have no idea what Detroit does.

5 Q. Then 3.8 is "Disclosure of System Debt. Do you

6 see that?

Page 53

7 A. Um-hmm.

8 Q. And then it starts off "Schedule 3.8 sets forth

9 all system debt." Do you see that language?

10 A. Just a second. Which part of that 3.8 were you

11 referring to?

12 Q. Under paragraph 3.8 it says "Disclosure of System

13 Debt. Schedule 3.8 sets forth all system debt."

14 And my question is: Do you see that language?

15 A. Yes, I see that.

16 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:

17 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 6

18 11:43 a.m.

19 BY MR. WATSON:

20 Q. Okay. Let me hand you what's been marked as

21 Marrocco Exhibit 6. And I'll ask, are you

22 familiar with that document? Have you seen that

23 before?

24 A. Yes, I've seen this.

25 Q. Is that the schedule, as far as you know, that

Page 54 Page 56

1 A. I would have no idea. It's a long time ago.

2 Q. All right. All right. Was it your understanding

3 that the system was sold as is?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. I'm turning to page 11 of 25. It talks

6 about litigation. Were you aware of any

7 litigation impacting the system?

8 A. Which one? Which number?

9 Q. 3.7.

10 A. Okay. Was I aware of what?

11 O. Any litigation that could impact the system.

12 MS. BADALAMENTI: It's an ambiguous

13 term. What is the term "litigation" being defined

14 as here? It calls for a legal conclusion, unless

15 you want to specify.

16 BY MR. WATSON:

17 Q. Are you aware of any major litigation or any

18 litigation Detroit was involved in regarding the

19 Macomb Interceptor system?

20 MS. BADALAMENTI: Same objections.

21 It's unclear whether this paragraph includes

22 criminal, civil, claims not brought. It's

23 unclear.

THE WITNESS: You're talking at that

time, right, September of 2010?

1 delineates the various debt on the system?

2 A. That's what it says at the top of the page, system

3 debt.

4 Q. Okay. And I'm looking at, under A, Projects

5 Covered By Global Settlement. Do you see that?

6 A. On this page you gave me?

7 Q. Yes.

8 A. Under A?

9 Q. Right. A. Projects Covered By Global

10 Settlement.

11 A. Projects Covered By Global Settlement, okav.

12 Q. One of the projects is CS-1368 2004 repairs,

13 correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And the number attributed to that is the 54

16 million plus number, right?

17 A. Yes. Um-hmm.

18 Q. And that's where the dispute is primarily?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. But there are a number of other projects

21 listed, correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you're not disputing these other ones, are

24 vou?

25 A. Not the lawsuit that we filed against Detroit.

1 Q. Are you aware of any claims in regard to any

2 other claims against Detroit on any of these

3 other matters?

4 A. I'm not sure.

5 Q. Okay. And then I'm looking down three-fourths of

6 the way down where it says "Global Settlement."

7 We see that 17,050,000?

8 A. Yes. Um-hmm.

9 Q. That was a reduction on the price, right?

10 A. On the global settlement.

11 Q. Right.

12 A. On everything.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. But it doesn't specify how much of the 17 million

15 is applied to one particular project or the other.

16 Q. Correct. Right. But the amount was reduced by

17 17 million?

18 A. Yes, according to this.

19 Q. And there are various other items, additions,

20 subtractions, so forth, correct?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Now, you indicated you weren't the principal

23 negotiator of all this stuff?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. You had folks doing it for you, and Detroit had a

1 A. Okay.

Page 57

2 Q. Paragraph 8.9 "Resolution of all Certain

3 Disputes," that's odd language, but that's what

4 it says, correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. It says "Macomb County and Detroit shall have

7 executed an agreement acknowledging that all

8 pending disputes between such parties with

9 respect to rates and all other matters have been

10 resolved." Do you see that?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Do you know if in conjunction with this

13 acquisition agreement such additional agreement

14 was executed?

15 A. At the time this was?

16 Q. Yeah.

17 A. I have no idea if there was another agreement.

18 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION:

19 DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 7

20 11:48 a.m.

21 BY MR. WATSON:

22 Q. Let me hand you, Mr. Marrocco, what's been

23 labeled Exhibit No. 7 --

24 A. Um-hmm.

25 Q. -- which says at the top "Macomb Interceptor

Page 58 Page 60

1 team doing it for it, correct?

2 A. Yes. Um-hmm.

3 Q. And this was going back and forth for -- was it

4 years that it took before you could reach this?

5 A. Yeah, I'm sure it did.

6 Q. Why do you think it took so long?

7 A. Because there's more than one person in a room --

8 many minds, many people. The mayor never was at

9 any of them, either, and he just sent his minions

10 there to negotiate.

11 Q. You had sophisticated counsel on each side?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And at least one high-ranking official on each

14 side?

15 A. I can't speak for the other side.

16 Q. Okay. But Misterovich is a pretty high-ranking

17 official?

18 A. On my side.

19 Q. He's right under you, right?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. And you're the top guy in this public works area

22 in Macomb County?

23 A. I am.

24 Q. We were going through the acquisition agreement.

25 Let's go to page 17 of 25.

1 Acquisition Settlement and Release of Certain

2 Rate Disputes," correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And then on the last page there appears to be the

5 signature of Misterovich and Latimer again?

6 A. There appears to be.

7 Q. And they're the same two guys who signed the

8 acquisition agreement, correct?

9 A. Yes, they are.

10 Q. And then the first paragraph of the document

11 references a date, September 2nd, 2010, does it

12 not?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And that's the date of the acquisition agreement,

15 isn't it?

16 A Ves

17 Q. Okay. I'm looking at -- well, first, let me ask

18 this: Have you seen this before?

19 A. I don't -- I don't think I have.

20 Q. Looking at paragraph 1 on the first page --

21 MS. BADALAMENTI: Let's give him a

22 chance to review the beginning before you get to

23 paragraph 1. He's just told you he hasn't seen

24 it.

25 BY MR. WATSON:

Anthony V. Marrocco Page 61 Page 63 1 Q. Take whatever time you need. 1 paragraph? 2 A. Okay. I've looked at it. I just read the 2 A. That's an accurate summary? I don't know. 3 beginning page. MS. BADALAMENTI: I mean, those are 4 Q. Are you ready? defined terms in the agreement and you're not 5 A. I'm ready. referencing them. You're just referencing the 6 Q. Okay. Page 1 of 7, where it says "1. Waiver and paragraph where they're used. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't know if those Release of Claims" reads "Detroit and Macomb County waive and release any claims with regard 8 numbers are true or not, so -to the following matters," and then it says "a. 9 BY MR. WATSON: 10 The cost of all projects and contracts shown on 10 Q. Okay. But let me ask it this way: Were you 11 Schedule 3.8 of the MID agreement and the 11 aware of a \$3 million adjustment to the purchase 12 calculation of all credits, charges and 12 price in regard to the OMI agreement and in 13 adjustments set forth in that schedule." Do you regard to the Macomb Interceptor purchase price? see that language? 14 A. I can't remember all that. 15 A. Um-hmm. Yes. 15 Q. But it is true that Detroit at some point agreed 16 Q. Was that your understanding of the agreement to reduce the purchase price, sort of clinch the 17 between Macomb and Detroit, that all these claims 17 deal? Do you recall that? would be released? 18 A. When was this? 19 A. I didn't sign this agreement. MS. BADALAMENTI: Hold on. I'm going 19 20 Q. So you don't know? 20 to object to that question. That's an overbroad 21 A. Well, I can't speak on behalf of Mr. Misterovich. 21 question and it's certainly not what's being I don't know when this -- when was this thing 22 22 referred to in this agreement. If you have a signed, anyways? I don't see a date. 23 question about a time frame that's unrelated to **24** Q. Looking at page 3 of 7, it talks about waiving 24 this question, I think you need to clarify. 25 BY MR. WATSON: and releasing. Page 62 Page 64 MS. BADALAMENTI: Where are you? 1 O. I don't think it's overbroad, but let me break it 1 2 **MR. WATSON:** Paragraph F. 2 down this way: Do you recall an OMI agreement 3 BY MR. WATSON: 3 reached between Detroit, Macomb, Oakland counties? Yes. 4 Q. Let me just read the pertinent part: "Except as provided in Section below and rights arising 5 A. An agreement? under this agreement, Macomb County waives and 6 O. Yes. 7 A. Yes. 7 releases its claims against Detroit and Detroit 8 waives and releases its claims against Macomb **8** Q. And that agreement was reached in 2009? 9 County with regard to all other known or unknown 9 A. Exact date, I don't remember. claims or disputes with regard to rates charged 10 Q. Okay. But that agreement was reached before the 10 to the MCWDD as a separate user class for all 11 acquisition agreement? rate years up to and including the FY20009/10." 12 MS. BADALAMENTI: With Macomb? 12 13 BY MR. WATSON: 13 Do you see that language? **14** Q. Do you recall that? 15 Q. Let me ask it this way: Does that fit with your 15 A. No.

understanding of an agreement reached between Macomb and Detroit? 17

18 A. That's what it says.

19 Q. I'm looking at page 6 of 7, Adjustment to Resolve

20 Disputes, paragraph 5. And that talks about a

\$3 million adjustment to resolve disputes, almost 21 2.2 -- well, 2.179 million applied to the OMI 22

23 purchase price and 870,000 to the Macomb

Interceptor purchase price. That's an accurate

25 kind of summary of what that says, correct, that 16 Q. Okay. Do you recall that Detroit, to speed the

17 negotiations along and try to get the deal

concluded, agreed to reduce the purchase price on 18

both the OMI system and the Macomb Interceptor

20 system? Do you --

21 A. There was something --

22 Q. -- remember that all?

23 A. All I know, there was a \$17 million global

24 settlement credit.

25 Q. Okay.

Page 65 Page 67 1 A. How that was broken out, I don't know. to jail. Maybe they shouldn't have gone to jail. 2 Q. Do you recall there were other reductions in the Maybe they weren't lying, huh? MR. WATSON: Let me take a short break 3 purchase price on top of the 17 million? 3 4 A. I know there's -- and I'm not sure whether this and we'll come back. happened before, after, whenever, but there was 5 (Off the record at 11:59 a.m.) MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION: \$7 million that Detroit received back from 6 **DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 8** contractors on the job, which they've never sent 7 to Macomb County. 8 12:12 a.m. **9** Q. 7 million? 9 (Back on the record at 12:12 p.m.) 10 A. Yeah. 10 BY MR. WATSON: 11 Q. Mr. Marrocco, you've been handed what's been **11** Q. On what job? 12 A. On the collapse -- sewer collapse. 12 marked as Exhibit 8 --13 Q. That Detroit -- are you referring to the 13 A. Yes. 14 settlement Detroit had with Inland? 14 Q. -- which appears to be the Summons and Complaint 15 A. I believe so, yeah. filed by Macomb Interceptor Drainage District 16 Q. And your position is Macomb should have gotten against City of Detroit in Macomb Circuit Court, 17 that money or some of that money? **17** correct? 18 A. Well, we paid it. We paid it to Inland. Why is 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Did you see this Complaint prior to the time it Inland giving it to Detroit? 20 Q. Well, didn't Inland -- Detroit pay Inland and 20 was filed? 21 then --21 A. I'm sure I did, but I can't be --22 Q. And you did authorize this suit? 22 A. No. 23 O. -- the system was sold and you paid Detroit? 23 A. Yes, I did. 24 A. Absolutely wrong. Macomb County paid Detroit, who 24 Q. Going to page 3, under General and Factual 25 paid Inland. Inland refunded 7 million back to 25 Background, it reads "The primary cause of this Page 66 Page 68 1 Detroit, and that money was never sent to Macomb 1 action is a breach of contract/fraudulent County. inducement to contract by Detroit relating to the 3 Q. Do you know what Detroit -sale of assets, including, but not limited to, the Macomb Interceptor System." Do you see that 4 A. And then you want to ask me why things aren't fair 4 5 and square here, right? language? 5 6 Q. Do you know what claims Detroit asserted in that 6 A. Yes, I do. lawsuit against Inland? 7 Q. Is that your understanding, that that's the 8 A. I don't know. primary claim, is breach of contract/fraudulent **9** Q. Are you familiar that eventually Macomb County 9 inducement? sued Detroit in Macomb Circuit Court? 10 A. That's what my attorney put there. I guess it's 11 legalese. 12 Q. Did you authorize that suit? 12 Q. Now, with the fraud claim, is it your 13 A. Yes, I did. 13 understanding that Macomb takes the position that **14** Q. Why? Detroit, prior to the sale, should have disclosed 15 A. Because I believe Macomb County rate payors were certain information that it had? overcharged for the sewer collapse repair bill. 17 Q. So it's the actions of Detroit before the sale 17 Q. And have you told us in this deposition all the reasons why you believe Macomb was overcharged? 18 that you're focused on? 18 Any other statements? Facts? 20 A. I have an engineer's estimate what it would cost 20 Q. In looking at paragraph -- look at paragraph 14. 21 to do it. I have statements from federal court 21 A. Um-hmm. 22 that admit to overcharging. I think those are two 22 Q. It talks about the superseding indictment in pretty good reasons. 23 Ferguson and Inland Waters entering into side **24** Q. Is there anything else you have? 24 agreements. Do you see that paragraph? 25 A. I think those are pretty good. Some people went 25 A. I see 14, yes.

1 Q. Did you ever meet Bobby Ferguson?

2 A. Never.

3 Q. Has he ever done any work for Macomb County?

4 A. Not to my knowledge.

5 Q. Have you ever testified before the grand jury?

6 A. Never.

7 Q. Do you know if any Macomb County employees were

8 interviewed in regard to the grand jury

9 investigation, interviewed by the FBI or

10 testified before the grand jury?

11 A. Regarding what?

12 Q. The 15 Mile Road sewer collapse.

13 A. So regarding that again, what's the question?

14 Q. Any Macomb County employees or attorneys testify

15 before the grand jury or get interviewed by the

16 FBI in regard to the matter?

17 A. No, I'm not aware of anybody.

18 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of the

19 dealings between Inland and Ferguson?

20 A. Only what I read.

21 Q. Have you ever done business -- Macomb done

22 business with Inland?

23 A. Just recently.

24 Q. When did you do business with Inland?

25 A. It was in conjunction with Oakland County under

1 so the sewage could flow. At that point, the

2 emergency was over.

3 Q. Do you know whether or not the bypass was

4 something that was unstable and had to be

5 monitored constantly?

6 A. Of course it would have to be. It's a temporary

7 fix.

Page 69

8 Q. Do you know whether or not the sinkhole was

9 expanding?

10 A. I have no idea if it were or not.

11 Q. Did you consider it to be an emergency -- let me

12 rephrase it.

Did you believe that DWSD had to take

14 actions to prevent houses from falling into the

15 sinkhole immediately?

16 A. So start your question again.

17 Q. Okay. I'm trying to find out what was and was

18 not an emergency. Was it an emergency to prevent

19 homes from falling into the sinkhole? Should

20 that action have been taken on an emergency

21 basis, in your opinion?

22 A. Of course, if homes would have fallen into the

23 sinkhole, but there's no proof of that. The homes

24 were far enough away from the sinkhole.

25 Q. In regard to air pollution or water or polluting

Page 70 Page 72

1 the OMID drain district we formed with them, and

2 they were awarded a contract this past year to do

3 some repair work on the interceptor.

4 Q. Okay. Looking at paragraph 16, it talks about

5 "in or about the spring of 2003, the DWSD and

6 Inland agreed to set fixed unit prices for

7 subcontractor work on CS-1368." Do you see that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. That's something you don't have any personal

10 knowledge of, I take it?

11 A. I have no idea what CS-1368 is.

12 Q. Okay. As far as the various factual allegations,

13 what D'Agostini did and Ferguson did and Inland

14 did and Detroit did, is it fair to say you don't

15 have personal knowledge of any of that stuff?

16 A. Only what I read.

17 Q. Okay. Did you consider the 15 Mile Road sewer

18 collapse an emergency?

19 A. The only emergency was to restore the flow of the

20 sewage

21 Q. Do you know how long it took to restore that

22 flow?

23 A. Exactly, I can't tell you, but it was a short

24 period of time. I think it was Mersino -- the

25 contractor went out there and put a bypass line in

1 the water or backing up in basements, should

2 actions have been taken to address those

3 situations on an emergency basis?

4 A. There was none of that.

5 Q. Could that have happened if the repairs weren't

6 effected quickly enough, though?

7 A. No, they had the emergency bypass and that was the

8 main concern. Road was down. Road got

9 barricaded, detour down to 14 Mile or detour up to

10 16 Mile, that didn't create nothing. Like I say,

11 once the sewage was flowing again, there was no

12 emergency.

13 Q. Did you ever go out to the project?

14 A. Yes, I did.

15 Q. How many times did you go out there?

16 A. From the day of the sinkhole until it was final,

17 opened up the road?

18 Q. Yeah.

19 A. Oh, my God, so many times I couldn't count, but I

20 can tell you I was there the first day it was

21 down. I was there.

22 Q. Was it a huge project?

23 A. Of course it was a huge project. I'm not saying

24 it wasn't.

25 Q. Did Macomb have a representative assigned to

1 monitor the progress on that project?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Who was that?

4 A. I believe it was Tom Stockel.

5 Q. Are you familiar with a Mr. Penrod? Was he there

6 as well?

7 A. Don Penrod, sure, he might have been there, but I

8 think Stockel might have been more on top of it.

9 Penrod would have been his supervisor.

10 Q. What were they supposed to be doing out there,

11 drinking coffee?

12 A. Just keeping an eye on the project, I guess, make

13 sure it was moving along. I'm not sure. You kind

14 of do that. City of Sterling Heights had someone

15 there, too, an inspector, so --

16 Q. Did you expect them to look at documents to the

17 extent there are contracts with contractors to

18 fix things? Was part of their job to look at

19 those agreements?

20 A. It was the City of Detroit's project. We had no

21 say in what went on over there.

22 Q. Could they, to your knowledge, ask to see

23 anything they wanted to see?

24 A. Could they ask?

25 Q. Yeah.

1 Ferguson and Miller." And you based that on the

2 indictment?

Page 73

3 MS. BADALAMENTI: The paragraph

4 expressly bases it on the indictment.

5 BY MR. WATSON:

6 Q. Do you have any additional knowledge in that

7 regard? Anything outside of the indictment?

8 A. Myself?

9 Q. Yeah.

10 A. No.

11 Q. And then paragraph 35 starts off "The scheme

12 resulted in excessive overcharges on the

13 project." Do you see that?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And are you basing that on the indictment as

16 well?

17 A. Not just the indictment. I'm also basing that on

18 the engineer's estimate that we had prepared.

19 Q. Anything else?

20 A. I think that's sufficient.

21 Q. Anything else, though, whether or not it's

22 sufficient? Is that all you can recall as we sit

23 here today?

24 A. I had our engineer do an estimate, and I've also

25 seen what the indictment says. Is there anything

Page 74

Page 76

1 A. I don't know. But, again, the City of Detroit.

2 Q. Are you aware of Detroit ever denying any Macomb

3 request as far as inspecting areas, viewing

4 documents, attending meetings?

5 A. I'm not aware of that. No one ever made mention

6 to me about it. It could have happened, but I'm

7 not sure.

8 Q. Were the Macomb and Sterling Heights

9 representatives allowed to go to the daily

10 meetings?

11 A. I have no idea.

12 Q. Did you ever go to any of the daily meetings?

13 A. No, I never went to a daily meeting.

14 Q. When you were out there, was Mercado out there a

15 lot as well?

16 A. On occasion. I seen him a couple times.

17 Q. Was Shukla the top guy on the scene day to day?

18 A. During the day there? No, I don't think I seen

19 Shukla there.

20 Q. I'm looking at paragraph 33. Just to confirm,

21 the last sentence reads "In return for these

22 unlawful and excessive contract awards, profits,

23 fees, expenses, and costs, the contractors and

24 subcontractors made unlawful payments and/or

provided unlawful gratuities to Kilpatrick,

1 else? I mean, how else would you know? There was

2 an admission in court. They admitted in court

3 what they did.

4 Q. Looking at paragraph 40, it starts off "The

5 grossly inflated project total became the

6 plaintiff's direct responsibility for order of

7 Judge Feikens dated December 18, 2008." Do you

8 see that?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Do you understand what that means? I'm not

11 sure -- I'm not familiar with Judge Feikens'

12 order, December 18, 2008. Are you familiar with

13 that at all?

14 A. No. I don't remember what he ordered on that

15 date.

16 Q. And the -- pursuant to the settlement agreement

17 or really the acquisition agreement, Macomb was

18 obligated to pay Detroit, wasn't it, once you

19 signed that acquisition agreement?

20 A. Okay. Now I understand what this December 18th

21 might be. That may be when we -- I don't know --

22 sued Detroit over -- we went to Feikens and said,

23 hey, this is not Macomb County's bill to pay in

24 total. It should be part of the system. And

In re: City of Detroit, Michigan Anthony V. Marrocco Page 77 Page 79 to pay the total of the sewer collapse. objectionable question, but you can go ahead. 2 Q. Oh, okay. Thank you. That's what that's about. BY MR. WATSON: 3 Okay. Paragraph 41 says "At the time **3** Q. The articles you read, what were they focused on? of this ruling, the scheme and its production of 4 A. What were they focused on? grossly inflated overcharges was concealed from 5 Q. Yeah. You said you read articles about the Judge Feikens and MIDDD." Do you see that 6 indictment. 7 language? 7 A. They were focused on criminal enterprise that they 8 A. Yes. had going on, and how they overcharged for the 9 Q. And we've already talked about why you believe it sewer collapse. was concealed, what you base the conclusion of 10 Q. So you've seen articles about overcharging for fraud and concealment on, haven't we? The 11 the sewer collapse? 11 Mercado conversations? What I'm trying to say, 12 12 A. Um-hmm. 13 is there anything else you base this allegation 13 Q. Do you recall what publication these articles on other than what we've already covered in your **14** were in? 15 deposition? 15 A. Local papers. 16 A. Which allegation? 16 Q. Macomb or --17 Q. The allegation that the scheme and its production 17 A. Daily. 18 of grossly inflated overcharges was concealed **18** O. -- Detroit? 19 from Judge Feikens and MIDDD. 19 A. Detroit. I think I also read some of the 20 A. Yes. 20 transcripts. 21 Q. And is there anything else you base that on other 21 Q. Trial transcript? 22 than what you've testified to today? 22 A. Yes. 23 A. No. That's it, I think. 23 Q. Did you ever see anything about favoritism in any 24 of the articles? 24 Q. Okay. 25 A. Criminal activity, I did. 25 A. Do you want me to make up something for you? Page 78 Page 80 1 O. Don't recall favoritism? 1 Q. No, I absolutely don't. 2 A. No, I don't. There's a lot of words in the I take it you disagree with Judge 3 Cleland's deposition that the tort claims belong 3 English language to specify one or the other. I to Detroit? don't know, but --5 A. Of course, anything that's ruled against us, I'm 5 Q. Look at paragraph 83. It reads "Defendant against. If it was in my favor, I'd like it. knowingly and intentionally made 7 Q. In regard to the Ferguson indictment, you misrepresentations leading up to and in the Macomb acquisition agreement." Do you see that mentioned a few times what you read in the paper, 9 indictment, Ferguson, Miller, Mercado, 9 language? 10 A. Not yet. Paragraph 83? 10 Kilpatrick. Weren't the articles in the paper primarily focused on favoritism shown to Ferguson 11 O. Yeah. 12 by Kilpatrick? 12 A. Okay. I'm on it now. 13 Q. And by defendant, defendant is? 13 A. Is that what they focused on? 14 O. Yeah. 14 A. Detroit? 15 MS. BADALAMENTI: What time frame are 15 Q. Detroit. The individuals you're aware of -- or

16 you referring to?

BY MR. WATSON: 17

18 Q. I'm referring to 2010 -- December 2010 and years

thereafter when they talked about the 19

20 indictments. Weren't they primarily focused on

Ferguson being unfairly favored in getting all 21

these contracts and --22

23 MS. BADALAMENTI: I'm going to object

to foundation. He doesn't know what articles

you're talking about. It's an overbroad and

individual who made these misrepresentations is

17 Mercado? Is that to you personally are aware of?

18 MS. BADALAMENTI: I'm going to object.

19 It calls for a legal conclusion, Mr. Marrocco

20 didn't draft this document. He doesn't know what

the paragraph is referring to. He couldn't 21

22 possibly answer that question. But you can go

23 ahead. Do the best you can.

BY MR. WATSON:

25 Q. Well, who from your personal knowledge, not what

1 you read in the papers or -- just what you know,

- 2 who made the misrepresentations? Anyone other
- 3 than Mercado and the misrepresentations that have
- 4 been fully discussed?
- 5 A. As far as the purchase price for the system? Is
- 6 that what you're talking about?
- 7 Q. Yeah, the purchase --
- 8 A. I don't think there was a full disclosure, whether
- 9 it's Mercado or the legal department of Detroit.
- **10** Q. Did you speak to anyone in the legal department?
- 11 A. No. There's so many people. I ain't going to set
- 12 there and speak to everybody. You just expect in
- 13 a business relationship, you bring forward
- 14 everything you have, all the information you have.
- **15** Q. The one you personally spoke to was Mercado?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And we fully covered those conversations, haven't
- **18** we?
- 19 A. Yes. I spoke to him more than the occasions we
- 20 talked about. We talked -- he came to my office
- 21 one day and I spoke to him in my office.
- 22 Q. We haven't talked about that one, have we?
- 23 A. No.
- **24** Q. What was discussed?
- 25 A. I told you about on the project site. I said a

1 the best you're able.

Page 81

- BY MR. WATSON:
- 3 Q. Do you want to rescind the deal?
- 4 A. If I can get a cheaper price than the \$90 million,
- 5 I guess I would. I think, you know, there's more
- 6 evidence out there now.
- 7 Q. While the project was going on and Macomb had at
- 8 least one representative on the project, are you
- 9 aware of any complaint Macomb ever registered
- 10 about the project and the cost other than the
- 11 testimony you've given?
- 12 A. Anybody we had out on the job --
- 13 Q. Yeah.
- 14 A. -- was not there -- was not there to contain cost.
- 15 Q. Did they ever complain about the costs, to your
- 16 knowledge?
- 17 A. They would not -- that was -- they're not
- 18 experienced in that, and that was not their job.
- **19** Q. Do you know of anyone complaining about the costs
- 20 other than what you testified to when you
- 21 complained to Mercado?
- 22 A. Oh, I think I'm the person who should complain
- 23 about the cost.
- **24** Q. Are you aware of anyone else complaining?
- 25 A. I don't think I should have to be aware.

Page 82

Page 84

- 1 few times over there, but also the time he came to
- 2 my office.
- 3 Q. What was discussed then?
- 4 A. Well, that he was going to give credit back or
- 5 something on jobs.
- **6** Q. Anything else you recall?
- 7 A. No. That was the main -- main point of it, but
- 8 then that never materialized, so --
- 9 Q. Any other time you talked to him where he made
- some type of misrepresentation?
- 11 A. Yeah, in his office down in Detroit. I talked to
- 12 him in his office.
- 13 Q. What did he say then?
- 14 A. Basically the same thing all the time, we'll make
- 15 adjustments, we'll make adjustments, or
- 16 everything's fair. If there's anything wrong,
- 17 we'll give you an adjustment back, this and that.
- 18 Q. Is Macomb County willing to give the system back
- 19 to Detroit if Detroit refunds the purchase price?
- 20 Do you want to rescind this whole deal?
- 21 MS. BADALAMENTI: I'm going to object
- 22 again. You're talking about something that's been
- 23 pled, a legal term of recision as a remedy, and
- 24 he's not a lawyer. He's not in a position to
- 25 answer that question. But you can go ahead, to

- 1 Q. Well, whether or not you --
- 2 A. The buck stops here. I made the decision. And
- 3 they overcharged.
- 4 Q. Okay. Did Misterovich ever complain, to your
- 5 knowledge?
- 6 A. Misterovich is an attorney.
- **7** Q. What does that mean?
- 8 A. He's not a contractor. He's not in the
- 9 construction industry.
- **10** Q. Can you answer my question? Other than what
- 11 you've testified to, are you aware of any other
- 12 complaints about the costs?
- 13 A. You know, I probably had rumblings. If you want
- 14 me to specify the name of a person, I can't do
- 15 that, but just there were rumblings. There were
- 16 rumblings.
- 17 MR. WATSON: All right. That's all
- 18 I've got.
- 19 EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MS. BADALAMENTI:
- **21** Q. I just have a couple of questions.
- 22 Commissioner, if you had known about
- 23 these overcharges, would Macomb have entered into
- 24 the acquisition agreement on these terms?
- 25 A. Absolutely not. We would have wanted more than

```
Page 85
    that 17 million -- 17 million? We would have
    asked for more than $17 million credit.
 3 Q. If the -- if you had known that there was an
    ongoing criminal investigation by the FBI that
    preceded the acquisition agreement, that had that
 5
 6
    information been disclosed to you by Detroit
 7
    prior to September 2nd, 2010, would you have
    entered into the acquisition agreement?
 8
 9 A. No. That's for sure not.
      MS. BADALAMENTI: No further questions.
10
      MR. WATSON: Nothing further.
11
12
      (The deposition was concluded at 12:37 p.m.
13
    Signature of the witness was not requested by
14
    counsel for the respective parties hereto.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                    Page 86
 1
                            CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY
 2
     STATE OF MICHIGAN
 3
                                ) SS
 4
    COUNTY OF MACOMB
 5
 6
                    I, MELINDA S. MOORE, certify that this
 7
          deposition was taken before me on the date
 8
          hereinbefore set forth; that the foregoing
 9
          questions and answers were recorded by me
10
          stenographically and reduced to computer
11
          transcription; that this is a true, full and
12
          correct transcript of my stenographic notes so
13
          taken; and that I am not related to, nor of
14
          counsel to, either party nor interested in the
           event of this cause.
15
16
17
18
19
                           Melinda & mone
20
21
22
                             MELINDA S. MOORE, CSR-2258
23
                               Notary Public,
24
                              Macomb County, Michigan
25
            My Commission expires: September 6, 2016
```